arXiv:1604.02449v1 [math.PR] 3 Apr 2016

Performance analysis of Markovian queue with impatience and vacation times

Assia Boumahdaf *
Laboratoire de Statistique Théorique et Appliquée
Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

Abstract

We consider an $M/M/1$ queueing system with impatient customers with multiple and single vacations. It is assumed that customers are impatient whenever the state of the server. We derive the probability generating functions of the number of customers in the system and we obtain some performance measures.

1 Introduction

Queueing system with impatience are characterized by the fact that customers are not willing to wait as long as it is necessary to obtain service. Such models are encountered in many fields including real-time telecommunication systems in which data must be transmitted within a short time, call center in which customers hang up due to impatience before they are served.

Queueing models with impatient customers have been extensively studied in the past by various authors. The idea of impatient customers goes back to the pioneering work of Palm in 1917. This notion was developed from the 50's with the work of Haight [1957] in 1957. The author considered a model of balking for $M/M/1$ queue in which there is a greatest queue length at which an arrival would not balk. Ancker and Gafarian [1963] studied the $M/M/1$ queuing system with balking and reneging and performed its steady state analysis. The general model $GI/G/1 + GI$, has been thoroughly studied by Daley [1965], Stanford [1979], and Bacelli et al. [1984]. The model has recently studied by Moyal [2010], and Moyal [2013]. In all aforementioned papers, the server is always available to serve the customers in the system and the service process is never interrupted.

Vacation models are queueing systems in which servers may become unavailable for a period of time. In telecommunication systems, this period of absence may represent the server's working on some secondary job. In manufacturing systems, these unavailable periods may represent performing maintenance activities, or equipment breakdowns. These systems are received considerable attention in the literature, see for example, the book of Tian and Zhang [2006] and the survey of Doshi [1986].

Motivated by questions regarding the performance on real-time applications in packet switching network, this paper consider vacation models with impatient customers. Indeed, in a packet switching network, packets are routed from source to destination along a single path (arrival streams or packet flows) through intermediate nodes, called switch (queue). Packets (customers) from different sources are multiplexing resulting in delay transmission (waiting time). If we are concerned with performances of each packet flows, we may regarded the the other packet flows as secondary tasks. When the server is working on these secondary packet, it may be regarded as server on vacation.

*E-mail: assia.boumahdaf@etu.upmc.fr

Moreover, a packet may loses its value (renege) if it is not transmitted within a given interval. These both features can be modelled by a vacation queueing with customer impatience.

Queueing models with impatience and vacation seems to go back to van der Duyn Schouten [1978]. The author considers the model $M/G/1$ with finite capacity for the workload and server multiple vacations. He derives the joint stationary distribution of the workload, and the state of the server (available for service or on vacation). Takine and Hasegawa [1990] considered an $M/G/1$ queue with balking customers and deterministic deadline. They analyse this model when the deadline operates on the waiting time, and on sojourn time. The authors have obtained integral equations for the steady state probability distribution function of the waiting times and the sojourn times. They also expressed these equations in terms of steady state probability distribution function of the $M/G/1$ queue with vacation without deadline. More recently, Katayama [2011] has investigated the $M/G/1$ queue with multiple and single vacation, sojourn time limits and balking behavior. Using the level crossing approach, explicit solutions for the stationary virtual waiting time distribution are derived under various assumptions on the service time distribution.

Using the probability generating function approach,Altman and Yechiali [2006] and Altman and Yechiali [2008] have developed a comprehensive analysis, of queueing models such as $M/M/1+M$, $M/G/1+M$ $G/M/1+M$, $M/M/c+M$ and $M/M/\infty+M$. They analyse these models when customers become impatient only when the server is on vacation. They obtain various performance measures, under both multiple and single vacation policies. Sudhesh [2010] has considered the model $M/M/1$ with customer impatience and disastrous breakdown. The author obtains a close form expression for the time-dependent queue size distribution. Perel and Yechiali [2010] have investigated $M/M/c$ queues, for $c = 1$, $1 < c < \infty$ and $c = \infty$ where the impatience of customers id due to a slow service rate. The authors have derived the probability generating function of the queue-length and the mean queue size. Selvaraju and Goswami [2013] considered an $M/M/1$ queueing system with impatience in which server serves at lower rate during a vacation period rather than completely stop serving. The authors derived some performance measures and the stochastic decomposition. Sakuma and Inoie [2012] analysed the $M/M/c + D$ queue with multiple vacation exponentially distributed, where customers are impatient only when all servers are unavailable. He derives the stationary distribution of the system using the matrix-analytic method. Yue et al. [2014] considered a variant of the $M/M/1$ model introduce by Altman and Yechiali [2006], they assumed that the server is allowed to take a maximum number K of vacations if the system remains empty after the end of a vacation. They obtain closed form expressions for important performance measures. Altman and Yechiali [2008] have investigate a $M/M/\infty$ queue system with single and multiple vacations in which customers are impatience only when servers are unavailable for service. The authors have derived the probability generating function of the number of customer in the system and some important performance measures. Yechiali [2007] has studied the $M/M/c$, for $1 \leq c \leq \infty$ queueing systems, where servers suffer disasters resulting in the loss all customers present in the system. Moreover, arriving customers during repair process are impatience. Economou and Kapodistria [2010] extended the single server model by Yechiali [2007] by assuming that customers perform synchronized abandonments. Recently, Yue et al. [2016] extended the model $M/M/1+M$ under the single and multiple vacation policy studied by Altman and Yechiali [2006]. The authors assumed that customers are impatience regardless the state of the server. Furthermore, customers have a deadline depending on whether the server is on vacation or busy. Using the probability generating function approach, the authors derive some performance measures. In this paper, we analyse a Markovian queueing system where customer are also impatience regardless the state of the server, and the analysis is also based on the probability generating function. Whereas we have completed this paper, soon after, Yue et al. [2016] had just been published. The main difference arises from the impatience assumption. Indeed, this paper we consider only one patience distribution, whereas

Yue et al. consider two patience distributions depending on whether the server is on vacation or available for serving, resulting in different balance equations. Moreover, we consider also in this paper a multiserver queue with simple vacation.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3 respectively, we analyse the model $M/M/1$ with exponentially distributed patience time, under simple and multiple vacation policy. We derive the balance equations and we obtain and we solve the differential equations for PGFs of the steady-state probabilities. This enable us to calculate some performance measures such as the mean system sizes when the server is either on vacation or busy, the proportion of customers served, and the average sojourn time. In Section 4 the model $M/M/c/V_S + M$.

2 Analysis of $M/M/1/V_S + M$

2.1 Model description and notation

We consider a queueing system in which customers arrive according to a Poisson process at rate λ. The service is provided by a single server of unlimited capacity. The successive service time of the server are assumed to be exponentially distributed with rate μ. Whenever the system becomes empty, the server takes a vacation. If on return from vacation, the system is empty, the server waits for the next arrival, otherwise he begins service. The vacation times are exponentially distributed at rate γ. Assume furthermore that the customers are impatient. Whenever a customer arrives to the system, he becomes impatient regardless the states of the server. The impatient times are exponentially distributed at rate ξ. If a customer joins the queue, he stays until his service is completed.

The system is represented by a two-dimensional continuous Markov chain $\{J(t), N(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ with state space $S = \{(j, n) : j = 0, 1,\ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, where $N(t)$ denotes the total number of customers present in the system and $J(t)$ indicates the state of the system at time t. If $J(t) = 0$, the server is on vacation, whereas if $J(t) = 1$, the server is serving customers or idle. The corresponding transition diagram is depicted in Figure 1. Let us define the stationary probabilities for the Markov chain as

$$p_{j,n} = \mathbb{P}(J(t) = j, N(t) = n), \quad j = 0, 1, \quad n \geq 0.$$



Figure 1: Transition rate diagram of $(J(t), N(t))$ when the sever takes single vacation

2.2 The equilibrium state distribution

The set of balance equations is given as follows

$$(\lambda+\gamma)p_{0,0} = \xi p_{0,1} + \mu p_{1,1}. \tag{1}$$

$$(\lambda+\gamma+n\xi)p_{0,n} = \lambda p_{0,n-1} + (n+1)\xi p_{0,n+1}. \tag{2}$$

$$\lambda p_{1,0} = \gamma p_{0,0}. \tag{3}$$

$$(\lambda+\mu+(n-1)\xi)p_{1,n} = \lambda p_{1,n-1} + \gamma p_{0,n} + (\mu+n\xi)p_{1,n+1}. \tag{4}$$

It is convenient to introduce the probability generating function (PGFs) in order to solve Equations (1)-(4). Define the (partial) probability generating functions (PGFs) $P_0(z)$ and $P_1(z)$, for $0 < z < 1$

$$P_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n p_{0,n} \quad \text{and} \quad P_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n p_{1,n},$$

with

$$P_0(1) + P_1(1) = 1. \tag{5}$$

Denote by $P_0'(z)$ and $P_1'(z)$ the respective derivatives of $P_0(z)$ and $P_1(z)$, for $0 < z < 1$

$$P_0'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n z^{n-1} p_{0,n} \quad \text{and} \quad P_1'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n z^{n-1} p_{1,n}.$$

Let us now discuss about several special cases:

1. $\xi = 0$. This case corresponds to a system where customers are not impatient. This model boils down to an $M/M/1/V_s$. This case was studied by Ser [2002].

2. $\xi = 0$ only in Equation (4). This system corresponds to a system where customers are impatient only when the server is on vacation. This case was solved at first by Altman and Yechiali [2006] for $M/M/1$, $M/G/1$ and $M/M/c$ queues with multiple and single vacation.

3. $\gamma = 0$. This case represents a system without vacation. The state space is then one dimensional and the system boils down to an $M/M/1+M$ queue. A number of papers on queues with impatience phenomena have appeared. We may mention for example, for the $M/M/c$ queue Boots and Tijms [1999] and the $M/M/1$ queue with priority Choi et al. [2001].

Next, we will find the generating function P_0 and P_1. By multiplying (1) and (2) by z^n and summing over n, we get, for $0 < z < 1$

$$\xi(1-z)P_0'(z) - [\lambda(1-z)+\gamma]\,P_0(z) = -\mu p_{1,1}. \tag{6}$$

Equation (6) is similarly to Equation (5.5) in Altman and Yechiali [2006] and has been solved by the same author. We get in the same way a first order differential equation related to P_1, by using (3) and (4), we have

$$(\lambda z - \mu + \xi)(1-z)P_1(z) - \xi z(1-z)P_1'(z) = \gamma z P_0(z) + (\xi-\mu)(1-z)p_{1,0} - \mu z p_{1,1}. \tag{7}$$

We obtain a set a two first order linear differential equations involving the unknown probabilities $p_{1,0}$ and $p_{1,1}$. We shall see by solving (6) that $p_{1,1}$ will be expressed in termes of $p_{0,0}$. Moreover, using Equation (3), $p_{1,0}$ is expressed in termes of $p_{0,0}$. Thus, the PGFs P_0 and P_1 will be expressed in termes of $p_{0,0}$. Therefore, once $p_{0,0}$ will be calculated, P_0 and P_1 will completely determined.

Dividing (6) by $\xi(1-z)$ yields

$$P_0'(z) - \left[\frac{\lambda}{\xi} + \frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-z)}\right] P_0(z) = -\frac{\mu}{\xi(1-z)} p_{1,1}. \tag{8}$$

To solve the above first order differential equation an integrating factor (see Boyce and DiPrima [1965]) can be found as

$$e^{\int -\left(\frac{\lambda}{\xi} + \frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-z)}\right) dz} = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} z}(1-z)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (8) by the integrating factor $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} z}(1-z)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}}$ we get

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} z}(1-z)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}} P_0(z)\right] = -p_{1,1}\frac{\mu}{\xi} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}}(1-z)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-1}.$$

Integrating from 0 to z we have

$$e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} z}(1-z)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}} P_0(z) - P_0(0) = -p_{1,1}\frac{\mu}{\xi}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} s}(1-s)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-1} ds,$$

hence

$$P_0(z) = e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi} z}(1-z)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}}\left[P_0(0) - p_{1,1}\frac{\mu}{\xi}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} s}(1-s)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-1} ds\right]. \tag{9}$$

Since $P_0(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{0,n} < \infty$ and $\lim_{z\to 1}(1-z)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}} = \infty$, when taking the limite z tending to 1 in (9), and noting that $P_0(0) = p_{0,0}$ we expresse $p_{1,1}$ in termes of $p_{0,0}$

$$p_{1,1} = \frac{\xi}{\mu A} p_{0,0}, \tag{10}$$

with

$$A(z) := \int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} s}(1-s)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-1} ds, \tag{11}$$

and

$$A := A(1) = \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi} s}(1-s)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-1} ds. \tag{12}$$

Note that $A(z)$ is well define if $\frac{\gamma}{\xi} - 1 > 0$. Substituting (10) in (9) yields

$$P_0(z) = p_{0,0} e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi} z}(1-z)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}}\left[1 - \frac{A(z)}{A}\right], \tag{13}$$

which is the as same Equation (2.12) in Altman and Yechiali [2006], with $p_{1,1}$ given by (10). Equation (13) express $P_0(z)$ in terms of $p_{0,0}$. Thus, once $p_{0,0}$ is calculated $P_0(z)$ is completely determined. The next theorem focuses on the calculation of $p_{0,0}$.

Next, we will find the generating function P_1. Dividing (7) by $-\xi z(1-z)$ leads to

$$P_1'(z) - \left[\frac{\lambda}{\xi} - \left(\frac{\mu}{\xi} - 1\right)\frac{1}{z}\right] P_1(z) = -\frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-z)}P_0(z) - \frac{1}{z}\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\xi}\right)p_{1,0} + \frac{\mu}{\xi(1-z)}p_{1,1}.$$

Using Equation (3), as well as (10), the above equation is written as

$$P_1'(z) - \left[\frac{\lambda}{\xi} - \left(\frac{\mu}{\xi} - 1\right)\frac{1}{z}\right] P_1(z) = -\frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-z)}P_0(z) + \left[\frac{(\mu-\xi)\gamma}{\xi\lambda z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)A}\right]p_{0,0}. \tag{14}$$

Multiplying both sides of (14) by $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1}$ (with $\frac{\mu}{\xi} - 1 > 0$) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left[e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1}P_1(z)\right] = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1}\left[-\frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-z)}P_0(z) + p_{0,0}\left(\frac{(\mu-\xi)\gamma}{\xi\lambda z} + \frac{1}{A(1)(1-z)}\right)\right], \tag{15}$$

Integrating from 0 to z, the solution to the differential equation is given by

$$P_1(z) = e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{-\left(\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1\right)}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1}\left[-\frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-s)}P_0(s) + p_{0,0}\left\{\frac{(\mu-\xi)\gamma}{\lambda\xi s} + \frac{1}{A(1-s)}\right\}\right]ds,$$

where $P_0(.)$ is given by (13). The above equation is valid if and only if $\frac{\mu}{\xi} - 1$ which leads to $\mu > \xi$. Substituting (13) in the above equation, we have

$$P_1(z) = p_{0,0}e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{-\left(\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1\right)}\left[-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}B(z) + \frac{(\mu-\xi)\gamma}{\xi\lambda}C(z) + \frac{D(z)}{A}\right], \tag{16}$$

where

$$B(z) = \int_0^z s^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1}(1-s)^{-\left(\frac{\gamma}{\xi}+1\right)}\left(1 - \frac{A(s)}{A}\right)ds, \tag{17}$$

$$C(z) = \int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-2}ds, \tag{18}$$

and

$$D(z) = \int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1}(1-s)^{-1}ds. \tag{19}$$

All the previous is summarized in the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *If $\rho := \frac{\lambda}{\mu} < 1$ and $\frac{\xi}{\mu} < 1$ the partial generating function can be expressed in terms of $p_{0,0}$ as*

$$P_0(z) = p_{0,0}e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}(1-z)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}}\left[1 - \frac{A(z)}{A}\right], \tag{20}$$

and

$$P_1(z) = p_{0,0}e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{-(\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1)}\left[-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}B(z) + \frac{(\mu-\xi)\gamma}{\xi\lambda}C(z) + \frac{D(z)}{A}\right], \tag{21}$$

where, $A(.)$, $B(.)$, $C(.)$ and $D(.)$ are given respectively by (11), (17), (18) and (19).

Equations (20) and (21) are expressed in terms of $p_{0,0}$, the probability that there is no customer in the system when the server is on vacation. In order to completely determined $P_0(.)$ and $P_1(.)$ we have to calculated $p_{0,0}$. The following Proposition gives this value.

Proposition 2.2. *If* $\rho := \frac{\lambda}{\mu} < 1$ *and* $\frac{\xi}{\mu} < 1$ *the probability* $p_{0,0}$ *is given by*

$$p_{0,0} = \left[\frac{\xi}{\gamma A} + e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}}\left\{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}B(1) + \frac{(\mu-\xi)\gamma}{\xi\lambda}C(1) + \frac{D(1)}{A}\right\}\right]^{-1}. \tag{22}$$

Proof. The idea consists in expressing $P_0(1)$ and $P_1(1)$, which are respectively the probability that the server is on vacation and the probability that the server is serving customers or the server is ildle, in termes of $p_{0,0}$. In order to obtain $p_{0,0}$ we will use the norming condition (5).

From Equation (20) by using the L'Hopital's rule we get

$$P_0(1) = \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}} z p_{0,0}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}\left(1 - A^{-1}A(z)\right) - A^{-1}A'(z)\right\}}{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}(1-z)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}} - 1},$$

where $A'(z) = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}(1-z)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-1}$ which yields

$$P_0(1) = p_{0,0}\frac{\xi}{\gamma A}. \tag{23}$$

Using (21) for $z = 1$ yields

$$P_1(1) = 1 - P_0(1) = p_{0,0}e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}}\left[-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}B(1) - \frac{(\xi-\mu)\gamma}{\xi\lambda}C(1) + A^{-1}D(1)\right].$$

Using the norming condition $P_0(1) + P_1(1) = 1$ we get

$$p_{0,0} = \left[\frac{\xi}{\gamma A} + e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}}\left\{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}B(1) - \frac{(\xi-\mu)\gamma}{\xi\lambda}C(1) + \frac{D(1)}{A}\right\}\right]^{-1}.$$

□

2.3 Performance measures

One of the main performance measures is the sojourn times. The system performance measures of the model are given below.

2.3.1 The probability that the server is on vacation.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{vac} = \mathbb{P}(J=0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(J=0, N=n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{0,n} \\ &= P_0(1) = p_{0,0}\frac{\xi}{\gamma A}, \end{aligned}$$

with $p_{0,0}$ given by (22).

2.3.2 The probability that the server is idle.

$$P_{idle} = \mathbb{P}(J=1, N=0) = p_{1,0} = \frac{\gamma}{\lambda}p_{0,0},$$

according (3).

2.3.3 The probability that the server is serving customers.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ser} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(J=1, N=n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{1,n} \\ &= 1 - P_{idle} - P_{vac} \\ &= 1 - p_{0,0}\left[\frac{\gamma}{\lambda} - \frac{\xi}{\gamma A(1)}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 0, 1$, let N_j be the system size when the server is in state j. Then $\mathbb{E}(N_j)$ is the mean system size when the server is in state j.

2.3.4 The mean number of customers when the system is on vacation

$$\mathbb{E}(N_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n\mathbb{P}(J=0, N=n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} np_{0,n} = P_0^{'}(1)$$

From (8) and by using the Hospital's rule we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_0'(1) &= \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{[\lambda(1-z)+\gamma]\, P_{0(z)} - \mu p_{11}}{\xi(1-z)} \\ &= \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{-\lambda P_0(z) + [\lambda(1-z)+\gamma]\, P_0'(z)}{-\xi} \\ &= \frac{-\lambda P_0(1) + \gamma P_0^{'}(1)}{-\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get,

$$P_0'(1) = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma+\xi} P_0(1) = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma+\xi}\frac{\xi}{\gamma A} p_{0,0} \tag{24}$$

We now derive the mean number of customers during non-vacation period. From (7) we have

$$\mathbb{E}(N_1) = P_1'(z) = \frac{(\lambda z - \mu + \xi)(1-z) - \gamma z P_0(z) - (\xi-\mu)(1-z)p_{1,0} + \mu z p_{1,1}}{\xi z(1-z)}.$$

Using the Hopital's rule we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_1'(1) &= \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\lambda(1-z) - (\lambda z - \mu + \xi) - \gamma P_0(z) - \gamma z P_0'(z) + (\xi-\mu)p_{1,0} + \mu p_{1,1}}{\xi(1-2z)} \\ &= \frac{-(\lambda - \mu + \xi) - \gamma P_0(1) - \gamma P_0'(1) + (\xi-\mu)p_{1,0} + \mu p_{1,1}}{-\xi} \\ &= \left[-(\lambda - \mu + \xi) - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\xi}\frac{\xi}{A} p_{0,0} + \frac{\xi\gamma}{\lambda} p_{0,0} - \frac{\mu\gamma}{\lambda} p_{0,0}\right] \times \frac{1}{-\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\mathbb{E}(N_1) = \frac{\lambda - \mu + \xi}{\xi} + \left[\frac{\lambda}{(\lambda+\xi)A} - \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} + \frac{\mu\gamma}{\lambda\xi}\right] p_{0,0}, \tag{25}$$

where $p_{0,0}$ is given by (22).

2.3.5 Sojourn times

Let S be the unconditional total sojourn time of an arbitrary customer in the system, regardless of whether he completes service or not. Denote $S_{j,n} := \mathbb{E}(S \mid (X_0 = (j, n+1)))$ the conditional sojourn time of a tagged customer in the system who does not abandon the system, given that the state upon his arrival is (j, n), because the tagged customer is included in the system. We use first-step analysis method which consists by considering what the Markov chain dos at time 1, i.e. after it takes on step from its current position. The total sojourn time when the tagged customer upon his arrival is $(1, 0)$, i.e. the server is idle, is given by

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{1,0}) = \frac{1}{\mu}. \tag{26}$$

Let us calculate $\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n})$. By conditioning on whether the next transition is a departure (either because of completion service or an impatient customer) or an arrival, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}) &= \mathbb{E}\left(S \mid X_0 = (1, n+1)\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu + n\xi}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}\left(S \mid X_0 = (1, n+1), X_1 = (1, n)\right) + \frac{\lambda}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}\left(S \mid X_0 = (1, n+1), X_1 = (1, n+2)\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu + n\xi}{\beta_n}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} + \mathbb{E}(S \mid X_0 = (1, n))\right) + \frac{\lambda}{\beta_n}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} + \mathbb{E}(S \mid X_0 = (1, n+1))\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\beta_n} + \frac{\mu + n\xi}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S \mid X_0 = (1, n)) + \frac{\lambda}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_n = \lambda + \mu + n\xi$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mu + n\xi}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S \mid X_0 = (1, n)) &= \frac{\mu}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n-1}) + \frac{n\xi}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n-1}) \\
&= \frac{\mu}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n-1}) + \frac{n\xi}{\beta_n}\left(\frac{1}{n} \times 0 + \frac{n-1}{n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n-1})\right),
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}) = \frac{1}{\beta_n} + \frac{\mu + (n-1)\xi}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n-1}) + \frac{\lambda}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}),$$

thus

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}) = \frac{1}{\mu + n\xi} + \frac{\mu + (n-1)\xi}{\beta_n}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}). \tag{27}$$

Iterating Equation (27) we get for $n \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}) = \frac{n+1}{\mu + n\xi}. \tag{28}$$

Remark 2.1. *If $\xi = 0$, Equation (28) becomes $\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}) = \frac{n+1}{\mu}$, which is the total sojourn time of the tagged customer when customers are not subject to impatience.*

The calculation of $\mathbb{E}(S_{0,0})$ and $\mathbb{E}(S_{0,n})$ is also based on the first step analysis. When the tagged customer finds the system upon arrival in the state $(0,0)$, the next transition is an arrival, a vacation completion or a the departure of the tagged customer, thus by conditioning on these events we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(S_{0,0}) = &\frac{\gamma}{\lambda+\mu+\xi}\mathbb{E}(S \mid X_0 = (0,1), X_1 = (1,1)) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu+\xi}\mathbb{E}(S \mid X_0 = (0,1), X_1 = (0,2)) \\ &+ \frac{\xi}{\lambda+\mu+\xi}\mathbb{E}(S \mid X_0 = (0,1), X_1 = (0,0)),\end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{0,0}) = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\xi}\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\mu}\right). \tag{29}$$

and for $n \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{0,n}) = \frac{1}{\gamma+(n+1)\xi} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+(n+1)\xi}\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n}) + \frac{n\xi}{\gamma+(n+1)\xi}\mathbb{E}(S_{0,n-1}).$$

Subsituting (28) in the above Equation yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\xi^{n-k}}{\prod_{j=k+1}^{n+1}(\gamma+j\xi)} \frac{n!}{k!}\left(\frac{(k+1)\gamma}{\mu+n\xi} + 1\right). \tag{30}$$

3 Analysis of $M/M/1/V_M + M$

3.1 Balance equations

We consider now the $M/M/1$ queue where the server takes multiple vacations at the end of a busy period. If the server returns from a vacation to an empty system he takes another vacation and so on. Otherwise, if he begins service until there is no customers. Customer as before are impatient. The system state, as in Section 2 can be represented by a continuous Markov chain $\{J(t), N(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$. The corresponding transition diagram is the same as Figure1 but without the state $(1,0)$ since the server is never idle (see Figure 2).



Figure 2: Transition rate diagram of $(J(t), N(t))$ when the server takes multiple vacations

Let us define the stationary probabilities $\{p_{j,n}, j = 0, 1\ n = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ and the partial generating functions, $P_0(z)$ and $P_1(z)$, for $0 < z < 1$

$$P_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n p_{0,n} \qquad \text{and} \quad P_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n p_{1,n},$$

with

$$P_0(1) + P_1(1) = 1.$$

The balance equations of the model are given as follows

$$\lambda p_{0,0} = \xi p_{0,1} + \mu p_{1,1}. \tag{31}$$

$$(\lambda + \gamma + n\xi)p_{0,n} = \lambda p_{0,n-1} + (n+1)\xi p_{0,n+1}, \qquad n \geq 1. \tag{32}$$

$$(\lambda + \mu)p_{1,1} = (\mu + \xi)p_{1,2} + \gamma p_{0,1}. \tag{33}$$

$$(\lambda + \mu + (n-1)\xi)p_{1,n} = \lambda p_{1,n-1} + \gamma p_{0,n} + (\mu + n\xi)p_{1,n+1}, \qquad n \geq 2. \tag{34}$$

Remark 3.1. *Equations (31) and (32) are the same as Equation (2.1) in Altman and Yechiali [2006].*

Multiplying each Equations (31)-(34) by z^n and adding over n yields the following linear first order differential equations

$$\xi(1-z)P_0'(z) - [\lambda(1-z) + \gamma]\, P_0(z) = -(\gamma p_{0,0} + \mu p_{1,1}). \tag{35}$$

$$[(\lambda z - \mu)(1-z) + \xi(1-z)]\, P_1(z) - \xi z(1-z)P_1'(z) = \gamma z P_0(z) - (\gamma p_{0,0} + \mu p_{1,1}) + \xi p_{1,1}. \tag{36}$$

Remark 3.2. *When Comparing Equation (35) with (6) for the single vacation case, we have add the constant $\gamma p_{0,0}$. Note that this equation is the same as Equation (2.3) Altman and Yechiali [2006].*

3.2 Solution of differential equations

The differential equation has been solved in Altman and Yechiali [2006]. The solution is obtained using the same method as in previous section. We multiply both sides of Equation (35) by the integrating factor $e^{-\lambda/\xi z}(1-z)^{\gamma/\xi}$, which yields

$$P_0(z) = e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}(1-z)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}} \left[P_0(0) - \frac{C_{\lambda,\mu}}{\xi} \int_{s=0}^{z} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}(1-s)^{\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-1} ds \right], \tag{37}$$

where $C_{\lambda,\mu} = \mu p_{1,1} + \gamma p_{0,0}$. Taking the limit as $z \to 1$ in the above equation and using the fact that $\lim_{z\to 1}(1-z)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}} = +\infty$ yields

$$P_0(0) = P_{0,0} = \frac{C_{\lambda,\mu}}{\xi} A, \tag{38}$$

where $A(.)$ is given by (11). Substituting $C_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{\xi}{A(1)} p_{0,0}$ in Equation (37) gives

$$P_0(z) = e^{\lambda/\xi z}(1-z)^{-\gamma/\xi} p_{0,0}\left[1 - \frac{A(z)}{A(1)}\right]. \tag{39}$$

Next we will find the generating function $P_1(.)$ by solving Equation (36) which can be written as

$$P_1'(z) - \left[\frac{\lambda}{\xi} - \frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{\mu}{\xi} - 1\right)\right] P_1(z) = -\frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-z)} P_0(z) + \frac{\gamma}{\xi z(1-z)} p_{0,0} + \frac{\mu - \xi}{\xi z(1-z)} p_{1,1}.$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z} z^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1}$ and integrating from 0 to z

$$e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z} z^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1} P_1(z) = \int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s} s^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-1} \left[-\frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-s)} P_0(s) + \frac{\gamma}{\xi s(1-s)} p_{0,0} + \frac{\mu - \xi}{\xi s(1-s)} p_{1,1}\right] ds. \tag{40}$$

Using the definition of $C_{\lambda,\mu}$ and Equation (38) we have

$$p_{1,1} = \frac{\xi - \gamma A}{\mu A} p_{0,0} \tag{41}$$

Equations (39) and (40) are expressed in termes of $P_{0,0}$. Therefore, once $p_{0,0}$ are calculated, $P_0(.)$ and $P_1(.)$ are completely determined.

3.3 Calculation of $p_{0,0}$

In order to calculate the value of $p_{0,0}$ we have to evaluate the quantities $P_0(1)$ and $P_1(1)$ in termes of $P_{0,0}$ and using the norming condition $P_0(1) + P_1(1) = 1$. Using (35) with $z = 1$ and Equation (41) we get

$$P_0(1) = \frac{C_{\lambda,\mu}}{\gamma}. \tag{42}$$

We have $C_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{p_{0,0}\xi}{A}$, thus

$$P_0(1) = \frac{\xi}{A\gamma} p_{0,0}. \tag{43}$$

Substituting Equation (39) in (40) we obtain

$$P_1(z) = e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z} z^{1-\frac{\mu}{\xi}} \left[-p_{0,0}\frac{\gamma}{\xi} B(z) + \frac{\gamma}{\xi} p_{0,0} E(z) + p_{1,1}\left(\frac{\mu}{\xi} - 1\right) E(z)\right],$$

where $B(.)$ is given by (17) and $E(z) = \int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s} s^{\frac{\mu}{\xi}-2}(1-s)^{-1} ds$. Using the above equation for $z = 1$ with (41) we get

$$P_1(1) = p_{0,0} e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}} \left[-\frac{\gamma}{\xi} B(1) + \left(\frac{1}{A} - \frac{\xi}{A\mu} + \frac{\gamma}{\mu}\right) E(1)\right]. \tag{44}$$

Substituting Equations (43) and (44) in the norming condition yields

$$p_{0,0} = \left[\frac{\xi}{A\gamma} + e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}} \left(-\frac{\gamma}{\xi} B + \left(\frac{1}{A} - \frac{\xi}{A\mu} + \frac{\gamma}{\mu}\right) E\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{45}$$

3.4 Performance measures

3.4.1 Probability that the server is on vacation and probability that the server is busy

The probability that the server is on vacation is

$$P_{vac} = \mathbb{P}(J = 0) = P_0(1) = p_{0,0}\frac{\xi}{\gamma A}.$$

The probability that the server is serving customers is

$$P_{ser} = \mathbb{P}(J = 1) = 1 - P_{vac} = 1 - p_{0,0}\frac{\xi}{\gamma A}, \tag{46}$$

where $p_{0,0}$ is given by (45).

3.4.2 Mean number of customers when the server in on vacation and busy

As given by Altman and Yechiali [2006](Equation 2.16), the mean number od customers whenthe serveur is busy is

$$\mathbb{E}(N_0) = P_0'(1) = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma + \xi}P_0(1). \tag{47}$$

Next we derive the mean number of customers in the system when the server is busy. From Equation (36) we have using the l'Hopital's rule

$$\begin{aligned} P_1'(1) &= \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{[\lambda(1-2z) + \mu - \xi]\, P_1(z) + [(\lambda z - \mu)(1-z) + \xi(1-z)]\, P_1'(z) - \gamma P_0(z) - \gamma z P_0'(z)}{\xi(1-2z)} \\ &= \frac{[\lambda(1-2) + \mu - \xi]\, P_1(1) - \gamma P_0(1) - \gamma P_0'(1)}{\xi(1-2z)} \\ &= \frac{(-\lambda + \mu - \xi)P_1(1) - \gamma P_0(1)}{\xi - \gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_0(1)$ and $P_1(1)$ are given respectively by (43) and (44).

3.4.3 Sojourn time

Let S and $S_{j,n}$ be defined as in section 2.3.5. The expression $\mathbb{E}(S_{1,n})$ is the same as Equation (28) but for $n \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{E}(S_{0,n})$ is given by Equation (30).

4 Analysis of $M/M/c/V_S + M$, $1 \leq c < \infty$

4.1 Model description and notation

We consider a multi-server queueing system $M/M/c$ with c identical servers and an unlimited single waiting line. Customers arrive at the system according to a Poisson process with rate λ and are served with an FCFS (first come, first served) discipline. The service times of the customers are independent exponentially distributed random variables with common mean μ^{-1}. If a server find an empty waiting line at service completion instant, it takes single vacation period. The vacation durations of servers are exponentially distributed random variables with mean γ^{-1}. Assume furthermore the customers are impatient regardless the state of the server. If the service of a

customer is not started before its patience runs out, then he leaves the system and never returns. The patience times of the customers are i.i.d. random variables exponentially distributed with mean ξ^{-1}.

The system is again represented by a two-dimensional continuous Markov process $\{J(t), N(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ with state space $\mathcal{S} = \{(j, n) : j = 0, 1, \ldots, c,\ n \geq 0\}$. As the previous study, $N(t)$ represents the number of customers present in the system at time t, but here $J(t)$ is the number of operating servers (busy or Idle). The stationary probabilities of the Markov process are define by

$$p_{j,n} = \mathbb{P}(J(t) = j, N(t) = n),\ j = 0, \ldots, c,\quad n \geq 0.$$

4.2 Equilibrium state distribution

For $J = 0$, i.e. all the servers are on vacation. The (partial) transition-rate diagram is depicted in Figure 3, and the balance equations are given as follow

$$\begin{aligned} &n = 0 \quad (\lambda + c\gamma)p_{0,0} = \xi p_{0,1} + \mu p_{1,1} \\ &n \geq 1 \quad (\lambda + c\gamma + n\xi)p_{0,n} = \lambda p_{0,n-1} + (n+1)\xi p_{0,n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$



Figure 3: Transition rate diagram of $(J(t), N(t))$ when the servers take single vacation

For $J = j$ with $1 \leq j \leq c-1$, i.e. j servers are in operating state (serving cutomers or idle waiting for service). The transition rate-diagram is depicted in Figure 4 for $0 \leq j \leq 3$ and the balance equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &n = 0 \quad (\lambda + \gamma(c-j))p_{j,0} = \gamma(c-j+1)p_{j-1,0} + \mu p_{j+1,1} \\ &1 \leq n \leq j-1 \quad (\lambda + (c-j)\gamma + n\mu)p_{j,n} = \lambda p_{j,n-1} + (c-j+1)\gamma p_{j-1,n} + (n+1)\mu p_{j+1,n+1} \\ &n = j \quad (\lambda + j\mu + (c-j)\gamma)p_{j,n} = \lambda p_{j,n-1} + (c-j+1)\gamma p_{j-1,n} + (j\mu + \xi)p_{j,n+1}(j+1)\mu p_{j+1,n+1} \\ &n > j \quad (\lambda + j\mu + (c-j)\gamma + (n-j)\xi)p_{j,n} = \lambda p_{j,n-1} + (c-j+1)\gamma p_{j-1,n} + [j\mu + (n+1-j)\xi]\, p_{j,n+1} \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

$$J = c \begin{cases} n = 0 \quad \lambda p_{c,0} = \gamma p_{c-1,0} \\ 1 \leq n \leq c-1 \quad (\lambda + n\mu)p_{c,n} = \lambda p_{c,n-1} + \gamma p_{c-1,n} \\ n = c \quad (\lambda + c\mu)p_{c,n} = \lambda p_{c,n-1} + \gamma p_{c-1,n} + (c\mu + \xi)p_{c,n+1} \\ n > c \quad (\lambda + c\mu + (n-c)\xi)p_{c,n} = \lambda p_{c,n-1} + \gamma p_{c-1,n} + [c\mu + (n+1-c)\xi]\, p_{c,n+1} \end{cases} \tag{50}$$



Figure 4: Transition rate diagram of $(J(t), N(t))$ when the servers take single vacation

We define for every $0 \leq j \leq c$ the partial generating function $P_j(.)$

$$P_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n p_{j,n}$$

By multiplying by z^n and summing over n, we obtain

from (48), for $j = 0$

$$\xi(1-z)P_0^{'}(z) = [\lambda(1-z) + c\gamma]\, P_0(z) - \mu p_{1,1}, \tag{51}$$

from (49), for $1 \leq j \leq c-1$

$$\begin{aligned} &[(\lambda z - \mu j)(1-z) + (c-j)\gamma z + j\xi(1-z)]\, P_j(z) - \xi z(1-z)P_j^{'}(z) \\ &= (c-j+1)\gamma z P_{j-1}(z) + j\mu(1-z)\sum_{n=0}^{j} z^n p_{j,n} - \mu z \sum_{n=1}^{j} n z^n p_{j,n} \\ &\quad + \mu \sum_{n=1}^{j+1} n z^n p_{j+1,n} + j\xi(1-z)\sum_{n=0}^{j} z^n p_{j,n}, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

from (50), for $j = c$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(\lambda z-\mu j)(1-z)+c\xi(1-z)]\,P_c(z)-\xi z(1-z)P_c^{'}(z)\\
&=\gamma z P_{c-1}(z)-\mu z\sum_{n=1}^{c}nz^n p_{c,n}+c(1-z)(\xi-\mu)\sum_{n=0}^{c}z^n p_{c,n}-\xi(1-z)\sum_{n=1}^{c}nz^n p_{c,n}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{53}
$$

By setting $z=1$ in Equation (48), (49) and (50) we get
for $j=0$, $P_0(1)=\frac{\mu}{c\gamma}p_{1,1}$,
for $1\leq j\leq c-1$: $(c-j+1)\gamma P_j(1)=(c-j+1)\gamma P_{j-1}(1)-\mu\sum_{n=1}^{j}np_{j,n}+\mu\sum_{n=1}^{j+1}np_{j+1,n}$ and $\gamma P_{c-1}(1)=\mu\sum_{n=1}^{c}np_{c,n}$, and by repeated substitution, we get

$$
(c-j+1)\gamma P_j(1)=\mu\sum_{n=1}^{j+1}np_{j+1,n} \tag{54}
$$

The differential equation (51) is similar to the differential equation (**??**), so

$$
P_0(z)=p_{0,0}e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}(1-z)^{-\frac{c\gamma}{\xi}}\left[1-\frac{A_c(z)}{A_c}\right], \tag{55}
$$

where $A_c(z)=\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}(1-s)^{\frac{c\gamma}{\xi}-1}ds$, $A_c=A_c(1)$,

$$
p_{1,1}=\frac{\xi}{\mu A_c}p_{0,0}, \tag{56}
$$

$$
P_0(1)=\frac{\xi}{c\gamma A_c}p_{0,0}. \tag{57}
$$

Once $p_{0,0}$ is calculated the partial generating function $P_0(z)$ is completely determined.
Dividing (52) by $-\xi z(1-z)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_j'(z)-\left[\frac{\lambda}{\xi}-\frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-j\right)+\frac{(c-j)\gamma}{\xi}\frac{1}{1-z}\right]P_j(z)\\
&=-\frac{(c-j+1)}{\xi(1-z)}\gamma P_{j-1}(z)-\frac{j\mu}{\xi z}\sum_{n=0}^{j}z^n p_{j,n}+\frac{\mu}{\xi(1-z)}\sum_{n=1}^{j}nz^n p_{j,n}\\
&\quad-\frac{\mu}{\xi z(1-z)}\sum_{n=1}^{j+1}nz^n p_{j+1,n}-\frac{j}{z}\sum_{n=0}^{j}z^n p_{j,n},
\end{aligned}
\tag{58}
$$

Dividing (53) by $-\xi z(1-z)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_c^{'}(z)-\left[(\frac{\lambda}{\xi}-\frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c\right)\right]P_c(z)\\
&=-\frac{\gamma}{\xi(1-z)}P_{c-1}(z)+\frac{\mu}{\xi(1-z)}\sum_{n=1}^{c}nz^n p_{c,n}-\frac{c(\xi-\mu)}{\xi z}\sum_{n=0}^{c}z^n p_{c,n}+\frac{1}{z}\sum_{n=1}^{c}nz^n p_{c,n}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{59}
$$

The set of equations (58) and (59) allow us to solve recursively the differential equations using similar technic as in previous section. Once the unknown probabilities $\{p_{j,n}\}$, for $j=1,\ldots,c$, $n=0,\ldots,j$ and $P_j(1)$, for $j=0,\ldots,c$ all the partial differential equations are completely determinded.

Multiplying both sides of (58) by $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-j)(1-z)^{(c-j)\gamma/\xi}}$ and integrating we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-j)(1-z)^{(c-j)\gamma/\xi}}P_j(z)\\
&=-\frac{(c-j+1)\gamma}{\xi}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-j)}(1-s)^{(c-j)\gamma/\xi-1}P_{j-1}(s)ds\\
&=-j\left(1+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\right)\sum_{n=0}^{j}p_{j,n}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}+n-2)}(1-s)^{(c-j)\gamma/\xi}ds\\
&=\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{j}np_{j,n}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}+n-1)}(1-s)^{(c-j)\gamma/\xi-1}ds\\
&=-\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{j+1}np_{j+1,n}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}+n-2)}(1-s)^{(c-j)\gamma/\xi-1}ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_j(z)=e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{-(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-1)}(1-z)^{-\frac{(c-j)\gamma}{\xi}}\{&-\frac{(c-j+1)\gamma}{\xi}k_1(z)-j\left(1+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\right)\sum_{n=0}^{j}p_{j,n}k_2(z)+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{j}np_{j,n}k_3(z)\\
&-\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{j+1}np_{j+1,n}k_4(z)\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{60}$$

Solve Equation (59) yields by multiplying by $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_c(z)=e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{-(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c)}\{&-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c+n)}(1-s)^{-1}P_{c-1}(s)ds+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{c}np_{c,n}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c+n)}(1-s)^{-1}ds\\
&-\frac{c(\xi-\mu)}{\xi}\sum_{n=0}^{c}p_{c,n}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c+n-1)}ds+\sum_{n=1}^{c}np_{c,n}\int_0^z e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\xi}s}s^{(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c+n-1)}ds\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$P_c(z)=e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}z}z^{-(\frac{\mu j}{\xi}-c)}\left\{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}\ell_1(z)+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{c}np_{c,n}\ell_2(z)-\frac{c(\xi-\mu)}{\xi}\sum_{n=0}^{c}p_{c,n}\ell_3(z)+\sum_{n=1}^{c}np_{c,n}\ell_3(z)\right\}.\tag{61}$$

We get for $z=1$

$$P_c(1)=e^{\frac{\lambda}{\xi}}\left\{-\frac{\gamma}{\xi}\ell_1^{c-1}(1)+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{c}np_{c,n}\ell_2(1)-\frac{c(\xi-\mu)}{\xi}\sum_{n=0}^{c}p_{c,n}\ell_3(1)+\sum_{n=1}^{c}np_{c,n}\ell_3(1)\right\}.\tag{62}$$

Equations (55), (60) and (61) express the partial PGF $P_j(z)$, $j=0,\ldots,c$ in terms of $(c+1)(c+2)/2$ unknown probabilities $\{p_{j,n}\}_{\substack{0\le j\le c\\0\le n\le j}}$. Those probabilities are required in order to completely determine $P_j(z)$, $j=0,\ldots,c$. The primary idea is to express the $c(c+1)/2$ probabilities $\{p_{j,n}\}_{\substack{1\le j\le c\\0\le n\le j-1}}$

in terms of those $\{p_{j,j}\}_{1\leq j\leq c-1}$. The $c(c+1)/2$ equations connecting $\{p_{j,n}\}_{\substack{0\leq j\leq c\\0\leq n\leq c}}$ (except $p_{c,c}$) with $\{p_{j,j}\}_{0\leq j\leq c-1}$ are taken from the balance equations for $j=1,\ldots,c$, $n=0,\ldots,j-1$. The Equation (56) expresses $p_{1,1}$ in term of $p_{0,0}$, this implies that the probabilities $\{p_{j,n}\}_{\substack{1\leq j\leq c\\0\leq n\leq c}}$ (except $p_{c,c}$) may be expressed in terms of $\{p_{j,j}\}_{\substack{0\leq j\leq c-1\\j\neq 1}}$. For example, if $c=3$ the 6 balance equations for $j=1,\ldots,3$, $n=0,\ldots,j-1$ together with (56) connect $p_{1,0},p_{1,1},p_{2,0},p_{2,1},p_{3,0},p_{3,1},p_{3,2}$ in terms of $p_{0,0}$ and/or $p_{2,2}$.

The second idea consists in expressing the $\{p_{j,j}\}_{2\leq j\leq c}$ in term of $p_{0,0}$, so we have to find $c-1$ equations. Taking the limit in (60) yields for $j=1,\ldots,c-1$

$$0=-\frac{(c-j+1)\gamma}{\xi}k_1^{j-1}(1)-j\left(1+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\right)\sum_{n=0}^{j}p_{j,n}k_2(1)+\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{j}np_{j,n}k_3(1)-\frac{\mu}{\xi}\sum_{n=1}^{j+1}np_{j+1,n}k_4(1) \quad (63)$$

since $0<P_j(1)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}p_{j,n}<\infty$ and $\lim_{z\to 1}(1-z)^{-\frac{(c-j)\gamma}{\xi}}=+\infty$. These $c-1$ above equations gives the probabilities $p_{j,j}$, $j=2,\ldots,c$ in term of $p_{0,0}$.

Finally the norming equation $\sum_{j=0}^{c}P_j(1)=1$ combining with (54) and (62) yields $p_{0,0}$ and thus all the unknown probabilities.

References

M/m/1 queues with working vacations (m/m/1/wv). *Performance Evaluation*, 50(1):41 – 52, 2002. ISSN 0166-5316. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-5316(02)00057-3.

Eitan Altman and Uri Yechiali. Analysis of customers' impatience in queues with server vacations. *Queueing Syst.*, 52(4):261–279, 2006. ISSN 0257-0130. doi: 10.1007/s11134-006-6134-x. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11134-006-6134-x.

Eitan Altman and Uri Yechiali. Infinite-server queues with system's additional tasks and impatient customers. *Probab. Engrg. Inform. Sci.*, 22(4):477–493, 2008. ISSN 0269-9648. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-8741-7_57. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8741-7_57.

C. J. Ancker, Jr. and A. V. Gafarian. Some queuing problems with balking and reneging. I. *Operations Res.*, 11:88–100, 1963. ISSN 0030-364X.

F. Bacelli, P. Boyer, and G. Hébuterne. Single-server queues with impatient customers. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 16(4):887–905, 1984. ISSN 0001-8678. doi: 10.2307/1427345. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1427345.

Nam Kyoo Boots and Henk Tijms. An $M/M/c$ queue with impatient customers. *Top*, 7(2):213–220, 1999. ISSN 1134-5764. doi: 10.1007/BF02564722. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02564722. 1st International Workshop on Retrial Queues (Madrid, 1998).

William E. Boyce and Richard C. DiPrima. *Elementary differential equations and boundary value problems*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1965.

Bong Dae Choi, Bara Kim, and Jinmin Chung. $M/M/1$ queue with impatient customers of higher priority. *Queueing Syst.*, 38(1):49–66, 2001. ISSN 0257-0130. doi: 10.1023/A:1010820112080. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1010820112080.

D. J. Daley. General customer impatience in the queue $GI/G/1$. *J. Appl. Probability*, 2:186–205, 1965. ISSN 0021-9002.

B. T. Doshi. Queueing systems with vacations—a survey. *Queueing Systems Theory Appl.*, 1(1):29–66, 1986. ISSN 0257-0130. doi: 10.1007/BF01149327. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01149327.

Antonis Economou and Stella Kapodistria. Synchronized abandonments in a single server unreliable queue. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 203(1):143–155, 2010.

Frank A. Haight. Queueing with balking. *Biometrika*, 44:360–369, 1957.

Tsuyoshi Katayama. Some results for vacation systems with sojourn time limits. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 48(3):679–687, 2011. ISSN 0021-9002.

Pascal Moyal. The queue with impatience: construction of the stationary workload under FIFO. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 47(2):498–512, 2010. ISSN 0021-9002. doi: 10.1239/jap/1276784905. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1239/jap/1276784905.

Pascal Moyal. On queues with impatience: stability, and the optimality of earliest deadline first. *Queueing Syst.*, 75(2-4):211–242, 2013. ISSN 0257-0130. doi: 10.1007/s11134-013-9342-1. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11134-013-9342-1.

Nir Perel and Uri Yechiali. Queues with slow servers and impatient customers. *European J. Oper. Res.*, 201(1):247–258, 2010. ISSN 0377-2217. doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2009.02.024. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2009.02.024.

Yutaka Sakuma and Atsushi Inoie. Stationary distribution of a multi-server vacation queue with constant impatient times. *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 40(4):239–243, 2012. ISSN 0167-6377. doi: 10.1016/j.orl.2012.03.006. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.orl.2012.03.006.

N. Selvaraju and Cosmika Goswami. Impatient customers in an m/m/1 queue with single and multiple working vacations. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 65(2):207 – 215, 2013. ISSN 0360-8352. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2013.02.016. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360835213000673.

Robert E. Stanford. Reneging phenomena in single channel queues. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 4(2):162–178, 1979. ISSN 0364-765X. doi: 10.1287/moor.4.2.162. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/moor.4.2.162.

R. Sudhesh. Transient analysis of a queue with system disasters and customer impatience. *Queueing Syst.*, 66(1):95–105, 2010. ISSN 0257-0130. doi: 10.1007/s11134-010-9186-x. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11134-010-9186-x.

T. Takine and T. Hasegawa. A note on $M/G/1$ vacation systems with waiting time limits. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 22(2):513–518, 1990. ISSN 0001-8678. doi: 10.2307/1427557. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1427557.

Naishuo Tian and Zhe George Zhang. *Vacation queueing models*. International Series in Operations Research & Management Science, 93. Springer, New York, 2006. ISBN 978-0387-33721-0; 0-387-33721-0. Theory and applications.

F. A. van der Duyn Schouten. An $M/G/1$ queueing model with vacation times. *Z. Oper. Res. Ser. A-B*, 22(3):A95–A105, 1978. ISSN 0340-9422.

Uri Yechiali. Queues with system disasters and impatient customers when system is down. *Queueing Syst.*, 56(3-4):195–202, 2007. ISSN 0257-0130. doi: 10.1007/s11134-007-9031-z. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11134-007-9031-z.

Dequan Yue, Wuyi Yue, Zsolt Saffer, and Xiaohong Chen. Analysis of an M/M/1 queueing system with impatient customers and a variant of multiple vacation policy. *J. Ind. Manag. Optim.*, 10(1):89–112, 2014. ISSN 1547-5816. doi: 10.3934/jimo.2014.10.89. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/jimo.2014.10.89.

Dequan Yue, Wuyi Yue, and Guoxi Zhao. Analysis of an $M/M/1$ queue with vacations and impatience timers which depend on the server's states. *J. Ind. Manag. Optim.*, 12(2):653–666, 2016. ISSN 1547-5816. doi: 10.3934/jimo.2016.12.653. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/jimo.2016.12.653.